Victorian Interstate Infrastructure Lease KPI Report 4th Quarter 2023/2024 (Apr-Jun)

# ARTC





# ARTC Victorian Interstate Infrastructure Lease KPI Report 4th Quarter 2023/2024 (Apr-Jun)

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXE	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
Α.	PERFORMANCE AGAINST KPI BENCHMARKS	3
В.	PERFORMANCE AGAINST KPI TARGETS	3
C.	ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING MEASURES	4
1.	PERFORMANCE AGAINST KPI'S	<del>5</del>
	1.1. Track Geometry Targets	5
	1.2. Total Transit Time Delay Targets	7
	1.3. Transverse Rail Defect Target	11
	1.4. Bridge Target	12
	1.5. Track Capability	12
2.	ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING MEASURES	13
	2.1. Average Track Quality Index (TQI)	13
	2.2. Sleepers Replaced	14
	2.3. Timber Deck Bridges	15
	2.4. Monthly Signal Failure Analysis	15
	2.5. Broken Rails	16
	2.6. New Permanent Speed Restrictions	16
	2.7. Track Recording Car Geometry Faults	17

#### **Executive Summary**

In accordance with the Victorian Interstate Infrastructure Lease, this document presents the KPI Report under the lease covering the period April 2024 to June 2024.

#### A. Performance against KPI Benchmarks

All lease KPI Benchmarks have been met during the reporting period.

Note: KPI Benchmarks are the Lease Targets and the KPI Targets are the Aspirational Goals.

#### **B. Performance against KPI Targets**

#### **Track Geometry Targets**

The track geometry quality KPI Targets for top, twist, line and gauge were met for all of the 8 targets during the reporting period, for both KPI Regions.

#### **Total Transit Time Delay Targets**

The KPI Target was met for both loco-hauled passenger and XPT trains during the reporting period, for both KPI Regions.

#### **Transverse Defect Target**

The KPI Target for the number of reported transverse defects was met for the reporting period, for both KPI Regions.

#### **Bridge Target**

The KPI Target for the number of bridges with speed or capability restrictions was met for the reporting period, for both KPI Regions.

#### **Track Capability**

The Maximum Axle Load for XPT between Melbourne and Albury is at 19 TAL, slightly under the KPI Target of 20 TAL. The KPI Targets for maximum speed and axle load capacity were met during the reporting period, for Melbourne Wolseley.

#### C. Additional Supporting Measures

#### Average Track Quality Index (TQI) on KPI Network

TQI data from the latest recorded run has been provided for each track section.

#### **Sleepers Replaced on KPI Network**

No sleeper (Timber – 0; Steel – 0; Concrete – 0; Other - 0) was installed during the reporting period.

#### **Timber Deck Bridges**

A total of 31 bridges has timber decking that has been in service for 20 years or more.

#### **Monthly Signal Failure Analysis**

ARTC's SIMS database was decommissioned in October 2023, information on signal failure trends will continue to be available as per current arrangements.

#### **Broken Rails**

The total number of broken rails as at the end of the reporting period has been shown for each KPI Region.

#### **New Permanent Speed Restrictions**

There were no changes made to the permanent speed restrictions during the reporting period.

#### **Track Recording Car Geometry Faults**

Track recording car geometry fault data provided since Q1 2011/12.

# 1. Performance against KPI's

# 1.1. Track Geometry Targets

Track geometry quality KPI Results for top, twist, line and gauge are provided below for each KPI Region.

The KPI Targets for track geometry quality have all been met.

Measure	KPI Target (Aspirational) Melbourne - Albury	KPI Benchmark (Lease Target) Melbourne - Albury	KPI Result Apr 24 to Jun 24		
Тор	11.5	18.4	5.5		
Twist	7.3	11.7	3.6		
Line	7.9	12.6	4.3		
Gauge	10.5	16.8	2.5		

Measure	KPI Target (Aspirational) Melbourne - Wolseley	KPI Benchmark (Lease Target) Melbourne – Wolseley	KPI Result Apr 24 to Jun 24		
Тор	11.2	17.9	8.9		
Twist	6.9	11.0	5.1		
Line	7.6	12.2	5.7		
Gauge	6.5	10.4	3.3		

TQI data provided is from the latest recorded run.

Figure 1: Melbourne-Albury Track Quality Index





Figure 2: Melbourne-Wolseley Track Quality Index



#### 1.2. Total Transit Time Delay Targets

KPI Results for time loss resulting from temporary speed restrictions are provided below for each KPI Region.

The KPI Target was met for both loco-hauled passenger and XPT trains between Melbourne and Wolseley and between Melbourne and Albury.

Measure Transit Time Delay (mins/trip)	KPI Target (Aspirational)	KPI Benchmark (Lease Target)	KPI Result (Loco-hauled Passenger 115 km/h) Apr 24 to Jun 24	KPI Result (XPT 130 km/h) Apr 24 to Jun 24	Result (Super Freighter 115 km/h) Apr 24 to Jun 24	
Melbourne – Albury	20	30	7.1	5.8	15.3	
Melbourne – Wolseley	40	80	8.0	N/A	17.9	

The KPI Target and Benchmark above, do not apply to Super Freighters and the result for Super Freighters is added for information purposes only.

Figures 3-9 show the longer term trends for time loss due to temporary speed restrictions in each KPI Region.

Figure 3: Melbourne to Albury Transit Time Delay for Loco Hauled Passenger trains Melbourne to Albury (East and West Track) Transit Time Delay Loco Hauled Passenger (P) KPI Benchmark KPI Target Time Loss Average 70 65 60 55 50 Time Loss (minutes) 45 40 35 30 25 20 12 7.3 15 4.4 7.1 10

\$\frac{\partial}{\partial}\partial\par

Figure 4: Melbourne to Albury Transit Time Delay for XPT trains




Figure 5: Melbourne to Albury Transit Time Delay (via East Track) for Loco Hauled Passenger trains




Figure 6: Melbourne to Albury Transit Time Delay (via East Track) for XPT trains




Figure 7: Melbourne to Albury Transit Time Delay (via West Track) for Loco Hauled Passenger trains




Figure 8: Melbourne to Albury Transit Time Delay (via West Track) for XPT trains





Figure 9: Melbourne to Wolseley Transit Time Delay for Loco Hauled Passenger trains



# 1.3. Transverse Rail Defect Target

KPI Results for the occurrence of transverse rail defects in each KPI Region are provided below.

The KPI Targets have been met in both KPI Regions.

Measure	KPI Target (Aspirational) Melbourne – Albury	KPI Result 23/24 total found	KPI Result Apr 24 to Jun 24	
Number of Transverse Rail Defects (Number in place at the time of measurement / year	400	4	0	

Measure	KPI Target (Aspirational) Melbourne – Wolseley	KPI Result 23/24 total found	KPI Result Apr 24 to Jun 24
Number of Transverse Rail Defects (Number in place at the time of measurement / year	380	5	4

#### 1.4. Bridge Target

KPI Results for the extent of speed or capability restricted bridges are provided below.

The KPI Target for the number of bridges with speed restrictions has been met for both KPI Regions.

Measure	KPI Target (Aspirational)  Melbourne – Albury	KPI Result Apr 24 to Jun 24
Number of Bridges with Temporary Speed Restrictions	30	1

Measure	KPI Target (Aspirational) Melbourne - Wolseley	KPI Result Apr 24 to Jun 24
Number of Bridges with Temporary Speed Restrictions	25	1

#### 1.5. Track Capability

KPI Results for the maximum speed and axle load capacity of each KPI Region are provided below.

The Maximum Axle Load for XPT between Melbourne and Albury is at 19 TAL, slightly under the KPI Target of 20 TAL. KPI targets for each KPI Region have been met during the reporting period; however, it appears that the original KPI target for Loco hauled passenger (V/Line) Melbourne to Albury was incorrectly stated at 130km/h. The N class loco has always had a max speed of 115km/h between Melbourne and Albury.

Measure	KPI Target  Melbourne – Albury	KPI Result Apr 24 to Jun 24
Loco hauled passenger (V/Line)	115 km/h (N Class or lighter)	115 km/h (N Class or lighter)
XPT (Countrylink)	130 km/h @ 20 TAL	130 km/h @ 19 TAL
VLocity DMU (V/Line)	130 km/h	130 km/h

Measure	KPI Target Melbourne – Wolseley	KPI Result Apr 24 to Jun 24
Loco hauled passenger (V/Line)	115 km/h (N Class or lighter)	115 km/h (N Class or lighter)
XPT (Countrylink)	N/A	N/A
VLocity DMU (V/Line)	115 km/h	115 km/h

# 2. Additional Supporting Measures

# 2.1. Average Track Quality Index (TQI)

The average TQI and percentage of track with a TQI greater than 25 are provided below.

	Average TQI	Average TQI	% of track with					
Line	previous quarter	current quarter	TQI greater than 25 previous quarter	% of track with TQI greater than 25				
Serviceton to Maroona	21.9	22.8	26.9%	30.7%				
Maroona to Vite Vite	19.5	19.3	13.6%	15.9%				
Vite Vite to Gheringhap	23.3	22.6	33.5%	29.7%				
Gheringhap to Nth Geelong	28.2	28.5	60.3%	61.5%				
Nth Geelong to Newport	24.4	25.0	37.0%	40.4%				
Newport to Tottenham	43.0	43.5	66.7%	68.9%				
Tottenham to Dynon	41.9	43.9	77.0%	80.7%				
Tottenham to South Dynon	These two lines have been combined due to track rationalisation and are now							
Dynon to West Footscray		described as Tot	tenham to Dynon					
Tottenham to Patullos Lane	18.8	19.3	19.5%	22.0%				
Patullos Lane to Broadford	16.7		9.4%	10.4%				
Broadford to Albury	15.0	15.4	5.3%	5.5%				
Albury To Seymour (West Line)	15.7	17.1	3.6%	5.7%				

TQI data provided is from the latest recorded run.

#### 2.2. Sleepers Replaced

Sleepers installed on the track sections identified in the lease are provided below. No sleeper (Timber – 0; Steel – 0; Concrete – 0; Other - 0) was installed during the reporting period.

	Serviceton to Maroona	Maroona to Vite Vite	Vite Vite to Gheringhap	Gheringhap to North Geelong	North Geelong to Newport	Newport to Tottenham	Tottenham to South Dynon	Dynon to West Footscray	Tottenham to Patullos Lane	Patullos Lane to Broadford	Broadford to Albury	Broadford to Albury (West Track)
Timber												
Steel												
Concrete												
Other												
Concrete 09/10												

The total quantity and percentage of the population of sleepers, by type, on the track sections as at 30 June 2024 are provided below.

	Serviceton to Maroona	Maroona to Vite Vite	Vite Vite to Gheringhap	Gheringhap to North Geelong	North Geelong to Newport	Newport to Tottenham	Tottenham to South Dynon	Dynon to West Footscray	Tottenham to Patullos Lane	Patullos Lane to Broadford	Broadford to Albury	Broadford to Albury (West Track)
Timber total quantity	-	-	-	5444	-	1341	-	490	32014	-	-	2180
Timber total percentage	0%	0%	0%	30%	0%	12%	0%	12%	77%	0%	0%	0.5%
Steel total quantity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Steel total percentage	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Concrete total quantity	396216	94207	175000	12889	97167	9891	9141	3478	9664	82500	680212	288702
Concrete total percentage	100%	100%	100%	70%	100%	88%	92%	88%	23%	100%	100%	99.5%
Other total quantity	-	-	-	-	-	31	804	-	-	-	-	-
Other total percentage	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

#### 2.3. Timber Deck Bridges

A total of 31 bridges has timber decking that have been in service for 20 years or more. The data includes bridges on the west track.


Corridor	Number of bridges with timber decking that is more than 20 years old	Number of bridges > 20 years old as a % of the total number of bridges with timber decking
Melbourne / Albury	22	100%
Melbourne / Wolseley	9	100%


## 2.4. Monthly Signal Failure Analysis

ARTC's SIMS database was decommissioned in October 2023, information on signal failure trends will continue to be available as per current arrangements.

#### 2.5. Broken Rails

The broken rail data provided below includes details of broken rails, broken welds and broken insulated rail joints for each KPI Region.





#### 2.6. New Permanent Speed Restrictions

There were no changes made to the permanent speed restrictions during the reporting period.

### 2.7. Track Recording Car Geometry Faults

Track recording car geometry fault data provided since Q1 2011.

	100014				<u> </u>					Car Ge	eometry	y Fault	Histor	у							
									Mell	oourne	/ Albui	γ									
Faults 2011/2012							2012/2013				2013,	/2014			2014	/2015		2015/2016			
COP (Current)	ACOP (Pre 06/12)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
E1	E	95	77	28	8	78	27	24	13	55	15	23	38	53	37	22	10	4	8	6	10
E2	U1	102	70	58	13	98	45	36	23	46	48	23	24	31	44	25	18	20	44	17	19
P1	U2	126	103	136	63	149	80	63	66	58	70	52	28	35	60	66	47	29	93	42	48
P2	P1	431	386	280	218	506	307	174	115	178	231	171	110	87	269	185	132	94	213	52	162
N	P2	69	99	100																	
									Melbo	ourne /	Wolse	ley									
E1	E	35	35	16	25	25	23	7	18	18	23	10	61	0	13	4	19	0	6	7	4
E2	U1	28	28	11	28	28	42	11	37	37	39	15	35	0	13	12	29	1	15	15	19
P1	U2	72	72	41	78	78	65	39	86	86	92	40	70	3	35	34	45	0	34	45	45
P2	P1	197	197	172	224	224	246	116	238	238	199	180	193	2	135	160	197	0	162	199	156
N	P2	74	74	68																	

Note: The above numbers are the initial raw data from the recording car and may include spurious faults. All reported faults are inspected and actioned by ARTC field staff in accordance with ARTC standards.

							Track	Record	ling Ca	r Geoi	netry l	ault H	istory								
								l	Melbou	ırne / /	Albury										
Faults 2016/2017						2017/2018					2018,	/2019			2019,	/2020		2020/2021			
СОР	ACOP																				
(Current)	(Pre 06/12)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
E1	Е	8	27	9	391(2)*	50	44	17	32	20	45	1	36	114	21	27	38	9	10	33	0
E2	U1	18	44	18	189(0)*	63	40	11	38	27	63	8	35	61	26	39	52	9	12	15	1
P1	U2	24	73	49	306(9)*	105	95	42	75	34	124	46	85	60	58	77	67	20	37	32	2
P2	P1	89	254	171	475(28)*	261	271	85	214	85	272	151	305	187	160	200	177	46	48	45	9
N	P2																				
								N	lelbour	ne / W	olsele	/									
E1	E	1	25	7	2	45	23	6	24	1	9	2	3	0	6	23	14	0	22	12	18
E2	U1	1	28	15	5	17	20	10	22	1	12	4	6	0	5	16	25	0	29	18	28
P1	U2	2	52	25	16	38	59	30	61	5	38	24	33	0	37	66	36	0	55	43	46
P2	P1	4	142	124	66	65	131	90	145	1	95	83	81	0	97	162	74	0	145	149	213
N	P2																				

Note: The above numbers are the initial raw data from the recording car and may include spurious faults. All reported faults are inspected and actioned by ARTC field staff in accordance with ARTC standards.

\*Note: 2016/2017 Quarter 4 Geometry data was impacted by sunlight which contributed to the high fault count. Data shown in ()\* exclude geometry fault from the affected area.

\*In Q1 2020/2021, only the West Track of Melbourne/Albury had a track recording run and there was no recording run on Melbourne/Wolseley.

			Track	Record	ding Ca	r Geor	netry F	ault Hi	story					
Melbourne / Albury														
Faults			2021	/2022			2022	/2023			2023	/2024		
СОР	ACOP													
(Current)	(Pre 06/12)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
E1	Е	2	10	0	0	2	1	1	9	2	4	0	6	
E2	U1	3	7	4	4	0	3	9	3	4	1	8	3	
P1	U2	7	17	11	12	8	11	10	13	7	15	15	9	
P2	P1	13	21	20	29	16	41	41	39	30	56	16	42	
Р3				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
				N	1elbour	ne / W	olseley	1						
E1	E	0	17	10	18	0	39	31	17	0	18	11	20	
E2	U1	0	27	17	37	0	69	30	32	0	23	12	38	
P1	U2	0	57	74	120	2	118	61	91	1	86	50	90	
P2	P1	0	183	244	283	1	290	240	299	1	263	201	295	
Р3				6	0	0	7	0	0	0	14	11	1	

Note: The above numbers are the initial raw data from the recording car and may include spurious faults. All reported faults are inspected and actioned by ARTC field staff in accordance with ARTC standards. Noting that in March 2022 a new top geometry defect type was introduced to ARTC CoP, this is additional to previous counts and will elevate data in all defect bands above the historical levels (which used only the 20m top parameter). The new parameter is aimed at improving train ride and safety, enhancing ARTC ability to control track performance and risk levels.

<sup>\*</sup>In Q1 2021/2022, only the West Track of Melbourne/Albury had a track recording run and there was no recording run on Melbourne/Wolseley.

<sup>\*</sup> Q3 2021/2022, Q1 2022/2023 and Q2 2022/2023 updated to reflect information previously overlooked.